

The Sun.

TUESDAY, JULY 18, 1899.

Subscriptions by Mail, Postpaid.
 DAILY, per Month \$2.00
 DAILY, per Year \$20.00
 SUNDAY, per Year \$5.00
 DAILY AND SUNDAY, per Year \$25.00
 DAILY AND SUNDAY, per Month \$2.00
 Postage to foreign countries added.
 This Sun, New York City.

Paris—Rue de la Harpe, 12, near Grand Hotel, and
 Kiosque No. 10, Boulevard des Capucines.

If our friends who favor us with manuscripts for publication wish to have their names published, they must in all cases send them to this office.

A Bob to the Nullification Kite.

The Republican party in Massachusetts should send to the United States Senate year after year a man who stands with GROVER CLEVELAND, JOHN Q. CLEVELAND, FARRIS COLLINS and BENJAMIN H. TRIMM on the platform of the Boston Anti-Imperialist League.

It is only seven years—exactly seven years last week—since the Hon. GEORGE FRISBIE HOAR, then as now awaiting reelection to the Senate, denounced GROVER CLEVELAND and the Democratic party on the grounds set forth in the following passages from a letter written from Paris to the Chairman of the Republican State Central Committee of Massachusetts:

"Our Democratic opponents, in an unwonted spirit of frankness, have admitted that real opinion among the American people as to all civilized nations, have no power to do anything for the encouragement of their own industries, and their purpose is to put upon their opinion by repelling all laws which tend to the encouragement of the American people as to all civilized nations, and of the Confederacy, with CLEVELAND it denies the power to encourage American industries, and would write in our Constitution what it excludes in the Constitution of the Confederacy, a complete prohibition to lay any duty for the protection of any manufacture."

"GROVER CLEVELAND, in the following phrase of Webster, is 'but a bob to the nullification kite.'"

How times have changed! To-day the Hon. GEORGE F. HOAR of Massachusetts is with GROVER CLEVELAND and JOHN Q. CLEVELAND in the opinion that the American people alone, of all civilized nations, have no power to do anything to meet the conditions of the present and the future, by expansion on the lines of the world's progress for the encouragement of their own industries and for the nation's greatness and glory.

GEORGE F. HOAR, in the following phrase of Mr. WEBSTER, is but another bob to the nullification kite.

The Sultan of Sulu.

Some criticism has been made on the report that Gen. BATES was to carry with him \$10,000 in Mexican silver as a gift to the Sultan of Sulu, with whom he was to confer as to the relations of that potentate with our country. It is suggested that this is too much like bribery to comport with American methods.

Exactly what Gen. BATES has been empowered to do will probably appear in his official report, when made; but in any case there is no ground for indignation over the action of his making a present to the Sultan. Spain obligated herself to pay him an annuity of \$2,400, and to certain other persons enough to bring the total up to \$4,900 a year. In return he acknowledged the sovereignty of Spain, agreed to try to stop piracy and other crimes, and made other stipulations which altogether render the price apparently cheap.

When we took over the sovereignty of the Philippines, we found this among existing obligations. We might arrange to modify it or repudiate it, but apart from any considerations of justice in the matter, it is to be presumed that, regarded only as our agent or local representative, the Sultan will give us a full equivalent for his salary. It would certainly cost us a good many times \$4,900 in gold, or \$10,000 in silver, to make war on him.

The case is quite different from the attempt of the Barbary Powers, a century ago, to levy tolls on our merchant marine, thereby arousing an American feeling that expressed itself in the famous phrase, "millions for defense, not one cent for tribute." There, arrogant exactions were attempted by piratical Governments, and the fact that European powers submitted to them made no difference in our conduct. In the present instance we should not pay tribute to a foreign sovereign, but simply continue, for a time, a contract which Spain entered into, as the easiest and most economical method of securing peace and order in that part of her domains.

An instance not quite analogous, but yet illustrating the fact that it is not un-American to pay annuities to an ex-sovereign, is that of the original treaty made for the annexation of Hawaii, in which we were to furnish an annuity to ex-Queen LILIUOKALANI. It is true that, under Spain's contract, home rule was assured to the Sulus, and the Sultan retained certain authority, rights and privileges, and very likely a temporary continuation in some form of this rather anomalous rule may be arranged by Gen. BATES. But we are not yet in a position to furnish another form of rule on a detailed scale, so that if the Sultan is ready for our flag and sovereignty, instead of fighting us, as AGUTINADO does in Luzon, there seems to be no reason why he should not be paid for his services by us, as he has been by Spain, under the arrangement made over twenty years ago.

The Suggestion of a Bishop.

Bishop SEYMOUR of an Illinois diocese of the Protestant Episcopal Church announces his intention to make an effort to induce the next General Convention of that Church, which meets at San Francisco in October, 1901, to amend its canon concerning divorce by forbidding the solemnization of the marriage "of any person who has a divorced husband or wife still living if such husband or wife has been put away for any cause other than adultery." It would thus remove from the operation of the canon only "such cases of alleged and legal marriages as are null and void *ab initio*, or, in English, are in no sense a marriage, because of 'fraud, violence or ignorance' vitiating the contract."

The proposition of Bishop SEYMOUR, of course, proceeds on the theory that marriage as a sacrament is indissoluble, but it permits the Church to go behind the record of the marriage to determine if, "as before God," there was actually "a sacramental union in the bonds of holy matrimony."

That is while he would permit no divorce, he would allow a marriage to be annulled, but exactly on what grounds, how the proof of its invalidity should be ob-

tained and how the investigation should be made and guarded against fraud or collusion, he does not provide. It is memorable concerning a few years ago the marriage of a daughter of a Bishop of the Episcopal Church was pronounced void *ab initio* by a voluntary committee of two or three neighboring Bishops, and the lady was married to another man with the sanction of the Church, yet subsequent events seemed to prove indisputably that the alleged cause for which her original marriage was pronounced void had no existence in fact.

It appears, then, that there is a liability to mistake as to the matter, and that it is possible even to Bishops. The annual, and most of a marriage a serious matter, and contested cases of the sort give rise to many questions which Bishops and clergymen generally may be wholly unqualified to settle. Are we to understand that Bishop SEYMOUR means that the clergyman is to proceed on a judgment rendered by a civil court, or is he to determine for himself whether the marriage was valid, or is the question to be decided by an ecclesiastical court? Where is the authority to annul the marriage to rest? On what grounds is it to be made voidable—those established by the civil law or those most emphatically by the old canon law? If these matters are not presently settled, is there not a chance that evils worse than those charged against divorce may arise under the latitude of interpretation of the marriage contract—under the ability to go behind the record—allowed by Bishop SEYMOUR? Witness the case of the daughter of a Bishop, to which we have referred. All that Bishop SEYMOUR has to say on this important matter is that "all cases where the marriage has been null and void from the beginning will be guarded for specific consideration as they offer themselves to the Bishop or priest officiating," but how and by what authority they are to be considered, he does not say. In the instance referred to the "specific consideration" annulled a marriage which subsequent facts indicated was actually valid according to both civil and ecclesiastical law.

The opportunity for flagrant abuses afforded by the proposition of Bishop SEYMOUR is obvious.

The Story of a Hero—Will Col. Picquart Be Reinstated?

It is now known for granted that Capt. ALFRED DREYFUS will be acquitted by the new court-martial, and that, as a necessary consequence, he will be reinstated in the military rank of which he was unjustly deprived. There is reason, also, to believe that his principal persecutors will be dealt with ultimately as they deserve to be. Two of them have already received an installment of punishment: Gen. ZERLINDES has been removed from the military governorship of Paris, and, according to a recent telegram, Gen. FELLIX is to be relieved of all his functions. To complete the triumph of right, however, something more will be needed than the rehabilitation of the original victim and the chastisement of the chief conspirators. What is to be done about Col. Picquart, who has been justly described as the "heroic partisan of revision," and who, for expressing his belief in the innocence of DREYFUS, has been dismissed from the army and imprisoned for almost a year, and who, although he has been lately released from jail, is still exposed to trial upon two trumped-up charges?

The case of Picquart is scarcely less remarkable than that of DREYFUS himself. The former was not always convinced of the latter's innocence. Like almost all his countrymen, he for a long time accepted the sentence of the court-martial and believed that the condemned Captain was a traitor of the blackest dye. In March, 1890, however, Picquart, having been appointed head of the Intelligence Department of the General Staff, received a horn containing fragments of paper which a spy had brought to Col. HENRY (who afterward confessed a forgery and killed himself, stating that they had come from the same wastepaper basket, belonging to Col. VON SCHWARTZKOPFEN of the German Embassy, in which the *bordereau* had been found. When placed together those fragments were found to make up a *petit bleu*, or post card, which was addressed to "Monsieur le Commandant ESTERHAZY." Up to that time Picquart had never heard of ESTERHAZY, but, upon learning that his character was disreputable, he obtained a sample of his handwriting, compared it with that of the *bordereau*, and detected at once that the two were identical. On the same day he asked for the so-called secret dossier relating to DREYFUS, which was said to have been privily shown to the Judges at court-martial. He examined it, but could find nothing therein which seemed to be a proof of DREYFUS's guilt.

Later in the same year, Picquart pressed on Gen. de BODEFFRE and Gen. GONSE the necessity of the Government's taking the initiative in a revision of DREYFUS's sentence, and a considerable correspondence ensued. What he said seemed only to irritate his chiefs. The two Generals, indeed, never ventured to give him an open rebuke, but they represented him as a perverse man difficult to deal with and indiscreet. More than this, a low private detective was employed to spy upon him, and, presently, the report was made that Picquart had said that, in difficulties, he was in the habit of consulting his old friend, M. LEBLOIS, an advocate, and he had done so with regard to the secret dossier in the Dreyfus case.

In consequence of this report, or of some representation from Col. HENRY, that Picquart was a traitor, Gen. GONSE withdrew the secret dossier from Picquart at the end of October, 1890, about the very time that HENRY, in order to trace up Gen. BILLOT, then Minister of War, who was wavering, committed the forgery known by his name. The authenticity of the forged document was at once challenged by Picquart, but it was accepted by BILLOT, who determined that the office inquiry directed ESTERHAZY should be dropped. To this required the removal of Picquart, the head of the office. Accordingly, on Nov. 10, 1890, two days before an interception concerning the Dreyfus affair, in the Chamber of Deputies, Picquart was ordered off upon a mission, ostensibly a temporary one, first to the provinces and then to Algeria. The moment his back was turned his private letters were opened in his office and copied before being sent to him. Nothing incriminating being found, a suspicious letter was concocted and sent to him through the mails; it was not forwarded, however, but put by in the office for future service. Nothing particular occurred during Picquart's mission, but there were various petty annoyances, and he would have been glad to leave the country at the end of May, 1897, he lost patience and wrote a sharp letter to HENRY, complaining of the mystification

that had attended his departure on the mission. To this he received a reply obscurely chiding him with the divulgence of documents and with bringing a false accusation against another officer; the writer added that material proofs of these charges existed in the office. Picquart at once obtained leave of absence, saw his friend LEBLOIS, the advocate, and gave him in confidence the outline of the case, but without referring specially to secret documents. He also left with him Gen. GONSE's letters, not for publication, but for use in case LEBLOIS should find it necessary to petition the military authorities.

In the autumn of the same year (1897), Picquart, who was still in Africa, became the object of sinister machinations. On Oct. 23, Gen. LEBLOIS, commanding at Sousse, received an order from the home Government that Picquart was to continue his mission, and, on the 31st, another that he was to proceed to the frontier of Tripoli, where the Marquis DE MONTE had lost his life. The General could not understand why. The frontier was dangerous, and there was nothing to be done there. He would not allow Picquart to go beyond Gabes, and sent home for further instructions. In the beginning of November, Gen. LEBLOIS received a further order to intercept Picquart. The Government, the General said, had received information that Picquart had let a woman steal from him a secret document which was of high importance. Picquart simply denied having done anything of the sort. Perceiving, however, that it was time to defend himself, he wrote to the Minister of War demanding an inquiry and leave to go to Paris. The demand was granted on condition that he would speak to no one before seeing Gen. DE PELLIX. When he saw the General he found that, although ESTERHAZY was about to be prosecuted, he himself was in disgrace, various charges standing against him, and that he was to be placed in a military court-martial. Picquart, who had been a member of the *bordereau* and of being compromised by the *petit bleu*. This judgment having been rendered, Picquart was at once, on Jan. 13, 1898, arrested and brought, not before a court-martial, as would have been needed if the War Office had then charged him with forgery of the *petit bleu*, but before a military court of inquiry on such miscellaneous lesser charges as could be raked up against him. Only one of the charges was declared proved, that, namely, of giving to LEBLOIS, his counsel, the letters from Gen. GONSE relative to Picquart's mission. Sentence was deferred, and, in the meantime, nearly a month, Picquart was kept in prison, which was an irregular proceeding. His detention, however, was convenient. The Zola trial was coming on, and Picquart would be a witness. BELLEUS, a magistrate, has sworn before the Court of Cassation that, in a conversation with Gen. GONSE, the latter requested him to tell Picquart that on his attitude at Zola's trial would depend all his military career. When in the witness box, nevertheless, Picquart, while strictly observant of official secrecy, did not swear from what he believed to be the truth. As soon as the Zola trial was over, Picquart's sentence was communicated to him. It was the severest that the Court could inflict. He was to be *reformed*, that is to say, dismissed from the army.

It was on July 7, 1898, that M. CAYATONAC, then Minister of War, made his famous speech in the Chamber of Deputies, wherein he based his declaration of belief in the guilt of DREYFUS upon the document which, as Col. HENRY subsequently confessed, was a forgery. While all France was applauding this speech, Picquart on July 9 sent to the Prime Minister a respectful letter in which he offered to prove that the document on which M. CAYATONAC had principally relied was a forgery. He was refused, and the name of the commander of the army, on July 12, the Cabinet resolved that a complaint should be addressed to the Minister of Justice against Picquart for having communicated to a non-qualified person, his counsel, M. LEBLOIS, documents affecting the defense of the State. This prosecution was, of course, an essential part of the conspiracy against DREYFUS. Picquart was to be prosecuted in the Criminal Court as an ordinary malefactor for offenses alleged to have been committed two years before, the very same offenses charged against him at the military court of inquiry, which tribunal, having found him guilty of one of them, had sentenced him to dismissal from the army. On July 13, 1898, Picquart was arrested and cast into prison, and he remained a prisoner until June 9 of the present year.

Meanwhile, an attempt was made to get him into the hands of the General Staff. On September 21, 1898, the day fixed for the hearing of the charge pending against Picquart in the Correctional Tribunal, a representative of the War Office appeared and demanded that Picquart should be surrendered to the military authorities, to be tried on the charge of forging the *petit bleu*. Picquart, who had been acquitted, but Picquart, who had been acquitted, moved, made a significant declaration: "This, perhaps, is the last time that my voice will be heard in public. I shall, perhaps, spend to-night in the military prison, but I desire to say that if I find in my cell the name of LEBLOIS-PIQUART, or the name of HENRY, it will be an assassination. I do not mean to commit suicide." The outbreak probably saved his life.

On Nov. 24, 1898, Gen. ZERLINDES issued an order for Picquart to be brought before a court-martial, and everything promised well for his conviction of forgery by a secret military tribunal. As it happened, however, the law intervened to save him. His counsel applied to the Court of Cassation to decide which of the two courts, civil or military, wherein Picquart was being prosecuted simultaneously, should take cognizance of the offenses laid to his charge. On Dec. 8 the Court of Cassation decided that all proceedings in both courts should be superseded, and that the accusations of forgery and some of the minor charges should go to the Criminal Court, and only two, of trivial purport, to the military tribunal.

Thereupon, Picquart was delivered from his military persecutors and transferred to the civil prison. He remained there until June 9, when the Indignation Chamber of Deputies, by a majority of 100, delivered judgment on the indictment drawn up against the prisoner charging him with forgery. Col. VON SCHWARTZKOPFEN's *petit bleu* to ESTERHAZY, and communicating it, with other documents concerning the Dreyfus case, to his counsel, M. LEBLOIS. The Court did not take note of the fact that the German Government had recently notified the French Government that SCHWARTZKOPFEN was the author of the *petit bleu*, but it held that, as the Court of Cassation had quashed the conviction of DREYFUS, "a presumption is raised in manifest contradiction with the

charges preferred against Col. Picquart." The latter being no longer guilty, even by presumption, an order for his release had to be made, and, consequently, Col. Picquart has been since at liberty. He is still liable, however, to military justice upon two frivolous charges which have nothing to do with the Dreyfus affair.

Picquart is free, but his military career is ruined, because he had the courage to proclaim his belief in the innocence of a persecuted man. Unless he is restored to his former rank in the army, the work of justice which France has now undertaken will be left incomplete.

The Governors' Room.

Under authority of the Municipal Art Commission there has been published a summary of portraits in the Governors' room at the City Hall, the last of these portraits being that of Gen. JOHN A. DIX, of honored memory, whose term of service as Governor expired on Dec. 31, 1874, a quarter of a century ago. With a view to completing this portrait gallery the Board of Aldermen adopted last week a resolution calling on the Mayor to take steps to that end. Fortunately section 637 of the Charter affords a safeguard against the abuse of the collection by including in it specimens of poor art, for it ordains that hereafter no work of art shall become the property of the city of New York, either by purchase, gift or otherwise, unless it, or the design for it, "shall first have been submitted to and approved by the [Municipal Art] Commission; nor shall any such work of art, until so approved, be erected or placed in or upon or allowed to extend over any municipal building or public place belonging to the city."

The portrait of SAMUEL J. TILDEN, who succeeded Gen. DIX in 1875, could manifestly be in this gallery as one of the most illustrious citizens of New York. So also there should be included in it the portrait of his successor, LEWIS ROBINSON, who besides being Governor was for three terms State Comptroller, and as such was in charge of its financial operations during the very important period from 1862 to 1868 and again from 1870 to 1878. During that time the financial policy of the State Government, splendidly sustained during the civil war, which is now being discussed by the adoption of safeguards whereby the public credit could not be pledged for private enterprises, the borrowing power of municipalities was restricted, and the opportunities for frauds upon the State treasury put forever beyond cavil or assault. That of his successor, ALONZO B. CORSELL, needs also to be obtained. The reasonable prejudice against the exhibition of portraits or statues of living statesmen, however distinguished, excludes the Governors who came later, but unquestionably the collection should be completed by the addition of the three we have named and that of ROWELL P. FLOWER, so lately deceased.

Cheap at Two Hundred Dollars.

Is there any force whatever in the contemporary criticism of the Hon. WILLIAM J. BRYAN for demanding \$200 for the speech which he has been asked to deliver at the annual convention of the Fireman's Association of Western Pennsylvania, to be held in August at Scottsdale? Mr. BRYAN is in one sense a public man, the candidate of the great majority of a great party for President of the United States. Is it to be assumed, therefore, that his time, his lung power and his genius for oratory are gratuitously due to any fireman's association or other non-political organization, at Scottsdale or elsewhere, desiring to engage him as a star attraction for a special occasion?

Not a bit of it. Mr. BRYAN's brains and lungs are worthy of their hire. He is before the people as a statesman, one as a statesman and party leader, and the other as a lecturer and orator whose popularity is unmistakable. He must live. He must provide for the needs of his family. The orator and the lecturer must support the statesman so long as the statesman receives no pay for devoting his time and mind to questions of public policy. It is as legitimate for Mr. BRYAN to charge a fireman's association \$200 for a speech at an annual convention as it is to charge a client \$200 for an appearance in court; and both charges would be entirely and absolutely proper.

We advise the firemen of Western Pennsylvania by all means to secure Mr. BRYAN for their annual meeting. If they want to make the convention notable and interesting they can hardly invest \$200 to better advantage.

There is already some speculation about the price which Mr. BRYAN will charge for a speech in this town on Labor Day. That is his business; but our own opinion is that as a statesman and a party leader and campaign strategist Mr. BRYAN could even afford to pay for the opportunity, instead of charging for attendance. We believe that he will surely come in the footsteps of Hoos to the cradle of the Van Wyck boom, and that he will come gladly and gratuitously.

Among the notable results following the changed political conditions in Cuba and Porto Rico, the complaints of the English in Transvaal are so serious that a bare enumeration of them without comment will suffice to establish their atrocious character. And we remember a recent letter in an English paper in which an English resident of Transvaal complained that it was impossible for one to live in a country in which he had no control over the taxes. Who can say that the Englishman's complaint is not just? But he is one of the grievances of the English residents of the South African Republic. We take the first five.

1. They have no vote in the levying of taxes.
 2. No vote in the payment of officials.
 3. No control of the education of the country.
 4. No control of the land and water rights of the country.
 5. No control of the land and water rights of the country.

Of course, the grievances enumerated above are intolerable, but then, it must be borne in mind that the Englishman is a stranger in Transvaal, and that the Indians should be made to take notice of all the above and many more disabilities in their own country which is under the control of the English themselves.

An Indian Candidate for Secretary of War.

From the Brooklyn Eagle.
 The famous author of "Ben Hur" has a host of friends in his State, and they have written to him to take a position on the question of Secretary of War should be appointed. They say that there are few men in the United States as well fitted as Wallace for this important office, and they point to his experience in two wars and his well-known reputation as a statesman, a lawyer and a soldier, and say that his selection at this time would be a master stroke on the part of the President.

It is believed that Senator Beveridge will enthusiastically support Gen. Wallace, but his friends are in doubt about Senator F. Fairbanks.

AMERICAN INTERESTS IN CHINA.

The Vast Significance of the Reported Alliance with British Interests.

To the Editor of THE SUN.—Sir: The announcement of a commercial pact by the terms of which Americans and Englishmen agree to operate together for the development of joint commercial projects in China has caused no surprise, certainly not to readers of THE SUN, for in the columns, on the 16th of August, 1898, we read the announcement of a similar way in Korea. "Here English and American interests may make a combination, as American concessions include the right to build a branch line from Canton to the sea, and it is not too much to say, in view of the condition of public opinion in Great Britain and America, that that clause may lead to consequences of stupendous importance." * * * may be the stepping-stone by which Anglo-American federation shall be reached."

The thesis that, even at that time, a commercial understanding had practically been reached at meetings in London at which representatives of the Hong Kong and Shanghai Bank, and other English interests, had been in consultation with the late Senator Bruce and Lord Charles Darnley, felt when he published his book, that the matter had gone so far that it was proper to mention it, as he does, as an accomplished fact.

Sooner or later, of course, such semi-diplomatic arrangements become public property; and the time has now come when it is fitting to proclaim its international relation, the question arises whether or not the word "stupendous" was well chosen in August last, when the English and American interests in China were first brought into the open. In any aspect in which the pact may be regarded, that word justly describes the importance, commercially and politically, of the event. Without entering into the question of the effect of Anglo-American understanding in the Far East upon the designs of Russia, of Germany or of other European powers, it is a problem of the greatest possible interest to consider the consequences of joint American and English operation upon China herself.

That the American syndicate has been fitted to proclaim its international relation, the question arises whether or not the word "stupendous" was well chosen in August last, when the English and American interests in China were first brought into the open. In any aspect in which the pact may be regarded, that word justly describes the importance, commercially and politically, of the event. Without entering into the question of the effect of Anglo-American understanding in the Far East upon the designs of Russia, of Germany or of other European powers, it is a problem of the greatest possible interest to consider the consequences of joint American and English operation upon China herself.

The dominating idea of the Russian system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the English system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the American system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the Japanese system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the Chinese system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the Indian system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the African system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the European system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the American system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the Japanese system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the Chinese system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the Indian system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the African system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the European system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the American system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the Japanese system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the Chinese system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the Indian system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the African system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the European system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the American system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the Japanese system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the Chinese system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the Indian system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the African system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the European system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the American system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the Japanese system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the Chinese system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the Indian system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the African system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the European system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the American system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the Japanese system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the Chinese system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the Indian system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the African system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the European system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the American system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the Japanese system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the Chinese system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the Indian system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the African system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the European system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the American system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the Japanese system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the Chinese system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the Indian system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the African system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the European system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the American system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the Japanese system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the Chinese system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the Indian system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the African system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the European system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the American system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the Japanese system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the Chinese system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the Indian system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the African system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the European system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the American system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the Japanese system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the Chinese system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the Indian system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the African system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the European system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the American system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the Japanese system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the Chinese system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the Indian system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the African system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the European system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the American system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the Japanese system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the Chinese system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the Indian system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the African system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the European system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the American system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the Japanese system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the Chinese system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the Indian system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the African system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the European system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the American system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the Japanese system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the Chinese system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the Indian system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the African system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the European system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the American system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the Japanese system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the Chinese system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the Indian system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the African system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the European system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the American system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the Japanese system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the Chinese system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the Indian system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the African system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the European system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the American system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the Japanese system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the Chinese system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the Indian system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the African system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the European system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the American system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the Japanese system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the Chinese system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the Indian system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the African system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the European system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the American system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the Japanese system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the Chinese system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the Indian system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the African system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the European system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the American system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the Japanese system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the Chinese system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the Indian system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the African system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the European system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the American system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the Japanese system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the Chinese system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the Indian system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the African system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the European system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the American system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the Japanese system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the Chinese system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the Indian system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the African system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the European system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the American system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the Japanese system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the Chinese system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the Indian system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the African system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the European system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the American system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the Japanese system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the Chinese system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the Indian system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the African system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the European system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the American system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the Japanese system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the Chinese system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the Indian system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the African system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the European system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the American system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the Japanese system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the Chinese system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the Indian system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the African system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the European system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the American system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the Japanese system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the Chinese system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the Indian system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the African system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the European system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the American system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the Japanese system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the Chinese system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the Indian system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the African system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the European system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the American system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the Japanese system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the Chinese system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the Indian system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the African system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the European system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the American system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the Japanese system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the Chinese system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the Indian system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the African system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the European system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the American system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the Japanese system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the Chinese system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the Indian system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the African system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the European system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the American system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the Japanese system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the Chinese system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the Indian system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the African system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the European system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the American system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the Japanese system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the Chinese system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the Indian system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the African system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the European system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the American system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the Japanese system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the Chinese system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the Indian system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the African system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the European system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the American system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the Japanese system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the Chinese system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the Indian system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the African system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the European system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the American system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the Japanese system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the Chinese system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the Indian system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the African system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the European system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the American system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the Japanese system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the Chinese system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the Indian system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the African system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the European system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the American system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the Japanese system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the Chinese system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the Indian system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the African system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the European system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the American system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the Japanese system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the Chinese system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the Indian system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the African system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the European system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the American system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the Japanese system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the Chinese system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the Indian system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the African system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the European system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the American system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the Japanese system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the Chinese system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the Indian system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the African system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the European system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the American system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the Japanese system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the Chinese system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the Indian system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the African system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the European system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the American system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the Japanese system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the Chinese system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the Indian system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the African system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the European system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the American system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the Japanese system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the Chinese system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the Indian system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the African system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the European system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the American system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the Japanese system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the Chinese system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the Indian system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the African system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the European system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the American system is to dominate the world. The dominating idea of the Japanese system is to dominate the